

Public Document Pack



MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE LONDON BOROUGH OF HAVERING Council Chamber - Town Hall 10 July 2019 (7.30 - 10.30 pm)

Present: The Mayor (Councillor Michael Deon Burton) in the Chair

Councillors Councillors Robert Benham, Ray Best, Carole Beth, Joshua Chapman, John Crowder, Keith Darvill, Osman Dervish, David Durant, Tony Durdin, Brian Eagling, Gillian Ford, Jason Frost, Martin Goode, Linda Hawthorn, Judith Holt, Tele Lawal, Paul McGeary, Paul Middleton, Sally Miller, Robby Misir, Ray Morgon, Barry Mugglestone, John Mylod, Stephanie Nunn, Denis O'Flynn, Gerry O'Sullivan, Ron Ower, Dilip Patel, Nisha Patel, Bob Perry, Viddy Persaud, Roger Ramsey, Timothy Ryan, Jan Sargent, Carol Smith, Christine Smith, Natasha Summers, Maggie Themistocli, Jeffrey Tucker, John Tyler, Linda Van den Hende, Christine Vickery, Melvin Wallace, Ciaran White, Damian White, Michael White, Reg Whitney, Christopher Wilkins, Graham Williamson and Darren Wise

12 Members' guests and members of the public and a representative of the press were also present.

Apologies were received for the absence of Councillors Philippa Crowder, Nic Dodin and Matt Sutton.

The Mayor advised Members and the public of action to be taken in the event of emergency evacuation of the Town Hall becoming necessary.

Reverend Kevin Browning, Vicar, St John and St Matthew Church, South Hornchurch opened the meeting with prayers.

The meeting closed with the singing of the National Anthem.

12 **MINUTES (agenda item 3)**

The minutes of the Annual Meeting of the Council held on 15 May 2019 before the Council for approval.

APPROVED:

That the minutes of the Annual Meeting of the Council held on 15 May 2019 be approved as a correct record, subject to confirmation from the webcast of the meeting that the Mayor made reference to the minute's silence at the last meeting covering the Christchurch terror attacks.

13 DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS (agenda item 4)

The Deputy Director of Legal and Governance – John Jones declared a prejudicial interest in agenda item 9 - Appointment of a New Monitoring Officer as he had been nominated for the position of Monitoring Officer.

14 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR, BY THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL OR BY THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE (agenda item 5)

The Mayor congratulated the Havering team on their winning a number of medals at the recent London Youth Games.

The text of the announcements made by the Leader of the Council is attached as appendix 1 to these minutes.

15 PETITIONS (agenda item 6)

The following petitions were presented:

From Councillor Dilip Patel concerning a request for traffic calming measures in Percy Road.

From Councillor Durant concerning a request for junction protection in Berwick Pond Close, Rainham.

16 HAVERING COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY - ADOPTION (agenda item 7)

It was confirmed that a report had been agreed by Cabinet at its meeting on 7 July 2019 concerning the adoption of the Havering Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). This would be the primary mechanism for the Council to secure financial contributions from development to help deliver necessary new infrastructure for Havering.

The report was **AGREED** without division and it was **RESOLVED**:

- **That the Havering Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Schedule and the Regulation 123 list be adopted (set out in Appendices 2 and 3 of the Cabinet report, respectively)**
- **That the CIL Charging Schedule will be implemented and effective from September 1 2019; and**

- That delegated powers to the Director of Neighbourhoods or the Assistant Director of Planning in consultation with the Leader of the Council be agreed for future changes to the Regulation 123 list following its review as appropriate

17 REVIEW OF CALL-IN PROVISIONS (agenda item 8)

A report of the Governance Committee set out Havering's current approach to the overview and scrutiny arrangements in respect of call-in and made a number of suggestions as to how the process could be amended and streamlined.

Questions by Residents' Group and Responses by Administration

1. Would the Leader of the Council confirm why this report is before council when the recommendation agreed at the Governance Committee meeting was for the Overview and Scrutiny Board to be consulted. Is it not sensible to consult with the board before council agrees any recommendations?

Response

As stated in the covering report, it was also agreed at the meeting of Governance Committee that these proposals be brought to full Council at the earliest opportunity. I am pleased that we have been able to do this tonight in order to allow all Members to fully scrutinise and debate these issues if they wish.

2. In relation to recommendation 4 of the report, who will decide where alternative proposals, actions or resolutions are appropriate (and against what criteria will this be judged) and what will be the effect if no alternatives are put forward.

Response

It will be the responsibility of those Members who call any decision in under the provisions of the Constitution to come forward with alternative proposals, actions or resolutions and it will be a matter of judgement by those Members as to what the criteria should be. The Executive will then decide if it agrees with any alternatives being put forward. Any alternatives suggested however will of course need to be realistic, achievable, measurable and financially robust. Alternative proposals may not be needed in all situations but, failure to submit workable alternative proposals where these are appropriate, is likely to result in a call-in not being accepted.

3. Apart from trying to reduce the number of call-ins by adding additional bureaucracy, would the Leader of the Council explain why

six members of this council are needed for there to be proper scrutiny of his Administration's decisions.

Response

I think all Members would agree that there are currently too many call-ins. The introduction of a threshold of around 10% of Members will allow us to focus the powers of call-in more effectively on those issues where there is genuine concern across the Chamber.

Deemed motion on behalf of Administration

That the report be adopted and its recommendations carried into effect.

Amendment by Labour Group

Recommendation 2. Delete "six" insert "four"

Recommendation 7. Delete the words "The Members" Insert in place thereof "At least two of the members"

For clarity, the full recommendations would read as follows:

2. Any requisition submitted must in writing must be in writing and must be signed by at least four members representing between them no less than two groups.

7. At least two of the members submitting call-in requisition or a group representative must attend the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Board where the called-in decision is to be reviewed.

Following debate, the amendment on behalf of the Labour Group **was NOT CARRIED** by 26 votes to 23 (see division 1) and the deemed motion on behalf of the Administration was **CARRIED** by 28 votes to 23 (see division 2).

RESOLVED:

That the following changes to the operation of call-in be made:

- 1. Key executive decisions only can be subject to call-in;**
- 2. Any requisition submitted must be in writing and must be signed by at least six members representing between them no less than two groups;**
- 3. Decisions may only be called-in once and that decisions are not eligible for call-in if there has been pre-decision scrutiny;**
- 4. Requisitions must specify the decision to which it relates and must not only set out the grounds or reasons relied upon but, where appropriate, also suggest alternative proposals, actions**

or resolution of the matter. This will in turn set the parameters within which the decision called-in can be reviewed;

5. Requisitions must not be vexatious, frivolous or repetitive;
6. The Monitoring Officer be authorised to decide whether a call-in is valid as assessed against the agreed criteria and that it is otherwise an appropriate use of the call-in process;
7. The members submitting a call-in requisition or a group representative must attend the meeting of the Overview & Scrutiny Board where the called-in decision is to be reviewed;
8. The provisions relating to “holding” requisitions as set out in paragraph 17(j) of the Overview & Scrutiny procedure rules be deleted.

The Monitoring Officer be authorised to amend the Constitution in accordance with Appendix 2 of the suggestions proposed by the Governance Committee and any other consequential changes to the constitution.

18 APPOINTMENT OF A NEW MONITORING OFFICER (agenda item 9)

A report of the Chief Executive recommended that John Jones, Deputy Director Legal & Governance (oneSource) be authorised to exercise the responsibilities of the Council's Monitoring Officer with immediate effect. Having declared a prejudicial interest, Mr Jones left the meeting during discussion of this item.

The report was **AGREED** without division and it was **RESOLVED**:

1. That the following individual be authorised to exercise the responsibilities of the statutory officer indicated:
Monitoring Officer John Jones, Deputy Director Legal & Governance (oneSource), with immediate effect.
2. Pursuant to that appointment the delegations and references made to that statutory role in the Constitution be amended accordingly.
3. To note that the Monitoring Officer appoints Daniel Fenwick as Deputy Monitoring Officer, who will exercise the responsibilities of the statutory officer in his absence.

19 VACANCY FOR POSITION OF CHAIRMAN OF THE APPOINTMENTS SUB-COMMITTEE (agenda item 10)

A report of the Chief Executive requested nominations for the position of Chairman of the Appointments Sub-Committee.

Nomination by the Conservative Group

That Councillor Damian White be appointed Chairman of the Appointments Sub-Committee.

The nomination was **AGREED** without division and it was **RESOLVED**:

That Councillor Damian White be appointed Chairman of the Appointments Sub-Committee.

20 **CHANGES TO THE CONSTITUTION (agenda item 11)**

A report of the Governance Committee proposed changes to the Constitution concerning Members' common law right to access documents on a 'need to know' basis and concerning the rules of procedure relating to budget setting.

Questions by Residents' Group and Responses by the Administration

1. Would the Cabinet Member agree to providing members with a full budget timetable as soon as practically possible to allow any alternative budget to be submitted to council and duly debated at the council tax setting meeting?

Response

The Councils Corporate Plan is already published and available to all Members of Council. Budgetary and financial information is regularly brought to the Overview and Scrutiny Board.

2. In relation to recommendation 1 of the report, would the Leader of the Council confirm that the Chief Executive will provide fully documented reasons if denying members access to any documents.

Response

Members have a Common Law right to information if they are able to demonstrate that they have a need to know that information. It is for the Monitoring Officer to determine if a Member making a request for information held has such a right to access it. Every request will be dealt with on an individual basis and if rejected a reasoned decision will be given.

The report was **AGREED** by 30 votes to 3 (see division 3) and it was **RESOLVED** that:

1. **The Protocol on Member/Officer Relations be amended to authorise the Monitoring Officer to decide, on a case by case**

basis, a Member's common law right to access documents; with a right of appeal to the Chief Executive in instances where the request is denied.

2. To replace paragraph 2.9 of the Council's Budget and Policy Framework Procedure Rules with the following:

"2.9 If following consideration of the Executive's budget proposals, Council has any objection to them, it must inform the Leader of any objections which it has to the Executive's proposals and must give the Leader instructions requiring the Executive to reconsider, in the light of those objections, those proposals in accordance with Council's requirements.

2.10 Where Council gives instructions in accordance with paragraph 2.9, it must specify a period of at least five working days beginning on the day after the date on which the Leader receives the instructions on behalf of the Executive within which the Leader may:

2.10.1 submit a revision of the proposals as amended by the Executive, which have been reconsidered in accordance with Council's requirements, with the Executive's reasons for any amendments made to the proposals, to Council for Council's consideration; or

2.10.2 inform Council of any disagreement that the Executive has with any of Council's objections and the Executive's reasons for any such disagreement.

2.11 When the period specified by Council, as referred to in paragraph 2.10, has expired Council must, when setting the budget, take into account:

2.11.1 any amendments to the proposals that are included in any revised proposals;

2.11.2 the Executive's reasons for those amendments;

2.11.3 any disagreement that the Executive has with any of Council's objections; and

2.11.4 the Cabinet's reasons for that disagreement; which the Leader submitted to Council, or informed Council of, within the period specified.

2.12 If the Executive's budget proposals are submitted to Council on or after 8th February in the preceding financial year, rules 2.9 to 2.11 apply subject to the Council's statutory duty to make budget calculations before 11th

March in the preceding financial year and the ability to charge council tax from 1st April.”

- 3. It be noted that Paragraph 2.12 has been added to give effect to the Committee’s recommendation that the delay this procedure will inevitably cause does not override the Council’s duty to make budget calculations on time and the financial imperative to issue council tax bills well in advance of 1 April. 7 February is the deadline stipulated in the relevant regulations (see paragraph 3.1 and Appendix 1 of the Committee’s report).**
- 4. The Monitoring Officer be authorised to amend the Constitution in accordance with the proposals in paragraphs 1 & 2.**

21 ANNUAL REPORTS OF COMMITTEES AND MEMBER CHAMPIONS (agenda item 12)

A procedural motion that item 14 (Motions for Debate) be heard at this point of the meeting was **NOT CARRIED** by 28 votes to 23 (see division 4).

A request from the Independent Residents’ Group for an emergency agenda item concerning a fire at Launderers Lane was refused by the Mayor. The Mayor gave an update advising that no health concerns had been raised in relation to the fire and that people living or driving nearby should ensure that windows were kept closed.

The annual reports of the following Committees and Member Champions were received:

AUDIT COMMITTEE

PENSIONS COMMITTEE

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY BOARD

CHILDREN & LEARNING OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY SUB-COMMITTEE

CRIME & DISORDER SUB-COMMITTEE

ENVIRONMENT OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY SUB-COMMITTEE

HEALTH OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY SUB-COMMITTEE

INDIVIDUALS OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY SUB-COMMITTEE

TOWNS & COMMUNITIES OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY SUB-COMMITTEE

MEMBER CHAMPION FOR THE ARMED FORCES

MEMBER CHAMPION FOR EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY

MEMBER CHAMPION FOR THE HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT

MEMBER CHAMPION FOR THE OVER 50S

MEMBER CHAMPION FOR THE VOLUNTARY SECTOR COMPACT

MEMBER CHAMPION FOR YOUNG PEOPLE

22 MEMBERS' QUESTIONS (agenda item 13)

Fifteen questions were asked and replies given. The text of all questions submitted and their answers, as well as a summary of the supplementary questions and answers is shown at appendix 2 to these minutes.

23 IMPACT OF PARKING ON TOWN CENTRES (AGENDA ITEM 14A)

Motion on behalf of the Residents' Group

In light of the Administration's decision to negatively affect the health of our Town Centres by the large jump in parking charges, removal of free parking including evenings, overnight and on Sundays, this council calls for there to be an immediate assessment on the likely impact this will have on the vibrancy of our valued Town Centres, together with ways that this can be mitigated.

Amendment on behalf of the Conservative Group

This Council notes that vibrancy of town centres is determined by a range of factors, including external environmental improvements, and welcomes the commitment of the Administration to bring forward plans to support our town centres as a destination of choice.

Following debate, the amendment by the Conservative Group was **AGREED** by 27 votes to 24 (see division 5) and **AGREED** as the substantive motion by 27 votes to 21 (see division 6).

RESOLVED:

This Council notes that vibrancy of town centres is determined by a range of factors, including external environmental improvements, and welcomes the commitment of the Administration to bring forward plans to support our town centres as a destination of choice.

24 CLIMATE CHANGE EMERGENCY IN HAVERING (AGENDA ITEM 14B)**Motion on behalf of the Upminster and Cranham Residents' Group**

This Council notes:

The United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's warning that we have 12 years to make the necessary changes to limit a rise in global temperatures by 1.5c. Failure to act will see a marked increase in sea levels and flooding, extreme and abrupt changes to weather patterns, crop failures, extinctions of plant, insect and animal species and global economic disruption and crisis. This will detrimentally impact on the well-being of the people of Havering and billions of people around the world.

At the Global Climate Talks in Poland last December the UK, along with over 200 nations, agreed action on Climate Change with a much greater role strongly implied for Local and Regional Authorities like Havering in assisting Governments to achieve their carbon emission savings.

In the words of Sir David Attenborough:

"Right now, we are facing a man-made disaster of global scale. Our greatest threat in thousands of years - **Climate Change**. If we don't take action, the collapse of our civilisations and the extinction of much of the natural world is on the horizon. The world's people have spoken. Their message is clear. Time is running out.'

The Council therefore resolves to:

1. Declare a Climate Emergency and publicise this to the people of Havering to raise awareness, and support the public to take effective action.
2. Request Cabinet initiate a full Environmental Audit of Havering Council to measure its carbon footprint, identify hotspots and work toward being carbon neutral in line with the latest targets set and agreed by the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; with Cabinet to report to the first meeting of the working party, referred to at 4. below, on the scope of the environmental audit.
3. Significantly improve our recycling rate to reach the target of 55% by 2025, and ask that the Cabinet implement a range of short term measures to improve recycling rates, in advance of a full review of the waste collection and disposal service.
4. Set up a Councillor Working Party including the appropriate Cabinet Lead with a remit to:
 - a) Commission and oversee the Environmental Audit;

- b) Consult expert opinion in the field, as appropriate;
 - c) Identify practical measures to reduce emissions and the Council's carbon footprint;
 - d) Encourage action in the wider community, businesses and other key organisations e.g. NHS and Educational Institutions;
 - e) Report to Full Council within six months with an action plan to address the emergency and incorporating proposals on the investment implications of this proposed activity.
5. Consider Environmental Impact as part of any new policy.
6. Seek to collaborate with other Local and Regional Authorities on emission reduction projects as appropriate and to request that the Leader of the Council write to the Minister of State for Climate Change and Industry, requesting that national policy is urgently developed to reflect the seriousness of the current emergency and to release funds to local authorities that would allow them to take the necessary measures at the local level.

Amendment on behalf of the Independent Residents' Group

Council agrees calls to declare "a climate emergency" are misplaced because man-made climate change is an elementary scam requiring only a basic understanding of carbon dioxide to understand.

Carbon dioxide is essential to life on earth as Humans/Animals cannot even breathe without it and its the food plants breath to make them grow. Carbon dioxide is a tiny fraction of the atmosphere **0.038%** and the man made emissions are a tiny fraction of natural and variable carbon dioxide, making any man made emissions irrelevant as easily eclipsed by natural variations.

Thus to believe a tiny fraction of man-made carbon dioxide emissions determines climate is a religious rather than scientific conviction, particularly as there are many things that determine climate including the sun, moon, gulf stream, oceans, volcanoes, clouds, water vapour and other greenhouse gases.

This matters locally because the recycling agenda promoted by climate change legislation has made waste disposal so expensive its resulted in a worldwide epidemic of fly-tipping, including plastics, which undermines the environment and costs all councils, including Havering, many £millions to clear.

The amendment on behalf of the Independent Residents' Group was **NOT AGREED** by 40 votes to 3 (see division 7) and the motion on behalf of the Upminster and Cranham residents' Association Group was **NOT AGREED** by 30 votes to 17 (see division 8).

No motion was therefore agreed.

25 **CCTV COVERAGE IN THE SOUTH OF THE BOROUGH (AGENDA ITEM 14C)**

Motion on behalf of the Independent Residents' Group

Growing investment in the south of the borough as outlined in June 12th Cabinet report shows the need and opportunity to improve CCTV coverage in the area as part of a package of measures to improve public safety to the residents of Havering. Thus Council calls on the Executive to extend CCTV coverage in the south of the borough, including main routes in and out of London Bid and Rainham Village Conservation Area.

The motion on behalf of the Independent Residents' Group was **NOT AGREED** by 28 votes to 23 (see division 9).

No motion was therefore agreed.

26 **CLIMATE CHANGE IN HAVERING (AGENDA ITEM 14D)**

Motion on behalf of the Labour Group

This Council acknowledges public concerns relating to:-

- 1) the impact of Climate Change;
- 2) the reduction in air quality; and,
- 3j the need to improve significantly recycling of waste products

and therefore calls upon the Executive to review its policies to ensure that Havering leads the way on environmental protection.

The motion on behalf of the Labour Group was **AGREED** by 48 votes to 3 (see division 10).

RESOLVED:

Motion on behalf of the Labour Group

This Council acknowledges public concerns relating to:-

- 1) the impact of Climate Change;**
- 2) the reduction in air quality; and,**
- 3j the need to improve significantly recycling of waste products**

and therefore calls upon the Executive to review its policies to ensure that Havering leads the way on environmental protection.

27 SUPPORT TO POLICE WORK (AGENDA ITEM 14E)

Motion on behalf of the Conservative Group

This Council welcomes the recent steps taken by the administration to support the work of the police within Havering through the future introduction of section 92 police officers; and the acquired option to purchase of the Hornchurch Police station; both of which will deter crime across the Borough.

Amendment on behalf of the Residents' Group

This Council welcomes steps to support the work of the police within Havering including the possible introduction of section 92 police officers and the potential option to purchase Hornchurch Police station. This council looks forward though to being regularly updated with progress reports on both schemes to demonstrate the effectiveness and value for money of these initiatives.

Amendment on behalf of the Independent Residents' Group

Few doubt the need for more 'bobbies on the beat', but **Council agrees** they should be funded by Government and GLA [precept](#) not by council-tax payers, particularly in Havering which is already penalised with poor staffing levels and funding compared to inner-London boroughs.

Locally the Council Leader has announced plans to purchase Hornchurch Police Station for an undisclosed sum and employ 5 Metropolitan police officers for 3 years for over £900,000! Even before contracts are signed he has been advertising these plans in conservative leaflets with headlines saying the council is keeping "Hornchurch Police Station open" and supporting "bobbies on the beat" to protect the public! This sounds worthy, but **Council agrees** the headlines aren't entirely honest.

After the Mayor ordered the closure of Hornchurch Police Station and all Safer Neighbourhood Team bases, it does make sense for the council to

step in to keep Hornchurch open as a **base** for police operating in the south of the borough, because of the delays in travel time if operating from Romford. However the conservative leaflets are misleading because it will mostly be operating as a **police base** rather than a **police station** open to the public.

Also employing 5 police officers seems worthy too, but will they be “bobbies on the beat” **or more likely deployed elsewhere** and will they be good value for money once all the extraction days, holidays and illnesses have been factored in. This matters because during a period of cuts priorities matter and **Council agrees** its odd the Council Leader has suddenly found over £900,000 **after** the February budget meeting in which he declared there was no money to keep Chafford leisure centre open, **despite all the health and social benefits of doing so.**

Nevertheless this Council welcomes steps to support the work of the police within Havering including the proposed option to purchase Hornchurch Police Station and introduction of section 92 Police Officers **as long as** Members are provided with regular updates and progress reports on both schemes to demonstrate the merit, effectiveness and value for money of these initiatives.

The amendment on behalf of the Residents’ Group was **NOT AGREED** by 33 votes to 11 (see division 11); the amendment on behalf of the Independent Residents’ Group was **NOT AGREED** by 33 votes to 11 (see division 12) and the motion on behalf of the Conservative Group was **AGREED** by 34 votes to 6 (see division 13).

RESOLVED:

This Council welcomes the recent steps taken by the administration to support the work of the police within Havering through the future introduction of section 92 police officers; and the acquired option to purchase of the Hornchurch Police station; both of which will deter crime across the Borough.

28 VOTING RECORD

The record of voting decisions is attached at appendix 3.

Mayor

This page is intentionally left blank

Mr Mayor,

Since we last met, there have been development and progress within a number of areas and I would like to take this opportunity to highlight them to members.

Armed Forces Day

Two Saturdays ago, I joined you in paying tribute to the men and women of our Armed Forces, people that put their lives at risk to safe guard our freedoms and communities. This event was attended by many well wishers from across the Borough, and highlights the special esteem that residents in this Borough have towards our the armed forces.

Whilst we are not a military borough, with no active bases currently in Havering, we do have a strong connection with the RAF and the Army, and I am pleased that our Council is part of the armed forces covenant.

Highways Programme

Our highway programme is now entering the second quarter, with the next set of roads and pavements being announced. This is part of our 40 million investment package to create a network that supports our residents in going about their business through clearing a backlog of repairs.

I would draw all members attention to the latest edition of the living, which contains a list of the roads and pavements which will benefit from this additional investment. Feedback from local residents has been extremely positive.

Cleaner

With a renewed highway network, it is this administration ambition to keep it clean and tidy. The 400,000 extra investment within our street cleaning team is helping to reduce the presence of litter across Havering, and our deep clean, which has finished in Romford and is soon to be rolled out across Upminster, Elm Park, Hornchurch, and Rainham will see the removal of ingrained dirt and grim that has built up over many years.

Safety

On Monday, I was able to sign the Executive Decision to formally accept the option to purchase the Hornchurch Police Station, thereby safeguarding an operational police presence within Hornchurch for the next ten years. This, coupled with the section 92 police officers, means that our authority is committed to supporting our brave men and women within the police force, and doing everything possible to tackle crime and the perception of crime.

Regeneration

Two weeks ago, the Deputy Leader of the Council handed over the keys to a newly built property within the Briar Road Village Square. These new properties are the start of a major programme of Council housing delivery, which will see Havering Council build more new Council homes than anytime since the start of the 1990s.

I am informed that the new resident was overwhelmed by the quality of finish and opportunity that she has been given by moving into one of our new Council properties. I wish her and her family the very best in her new accommodation.

Within the next month, demolition of Napier & New Plymouth Houses will commence, kick starting a wave of regeneration in the South of Havering. This will lead to new council accommodation, new sporting facilities, new opportunities for our residents to benefit from the wider regeneration of Havering. This is one of the most exciting elements of work that our Council is involved within and I will ensure that a regular report on progress is brought to cabinet to ensure that all members are kept informed.

To ensure that no part of our housing stock is neglected, last night Cabinet endorsed a 10 million investment package within our existing estates, to improve the external environmental aspects that were not dealt with by the decent homes programme.

This highlights our commitment to improving the quality of our environment, being the foundation of all what we do.

Thank you for the time Mr Mayor.

This page is intentionally left blank



Appendix 2

FULL COUNCIL, Wednesday 10 July 2019

MEMBERS' QUESTIONS

Pavement Weed Control

- 1) **To the Cabinet Member for Environment (Councillor Osman Dervish)**
From Councillor Reg Whitney

Now that road sweepers no longer sweep residential roads, would the Cabinet Member confirm how the weeds and grass are removed from pavements and kerbs?

Answer

It is misleading to suggest that residential roads are not swept.

Nothing has materially changed in how the street cleansing service is delivered except that, following the additional investment of £400,000, we have actually increased how often we clean our streets.

All residential roads are now serviced every 10 days by our street cleansing teams who take whatever action is needed to ensure the road is left clean. This could range from a litter pick to a full sweep using either hand brooms or mechanical sweepers.

The standard of cleanliness achieved is checked by a team leader

To compliment the street cleansing service and control weeds, all roads are sprayed four times each year by a contractor. The weeds can take up to 21 days to die off following spraying and any large dead weeds should then be scraped out and removed as part of the street cleansing service.

If there are particular areas of concern we will be happy to investigate.

A supplementary question asked for further details of how weeds were removed. The Cabinet Member responded that weeds were sprayed four times a year and were removed once they were dead.

Removal of Bubble Gum from Pavements

- 2) **To the Cabinet Member for Environment (Councillor Osman Dervish)**
From Councillor Jeffrey Tucker

The Council received funding to remove bubble-gum from our pavements and a deep clean by Romford station, which certainly needed it, has been undertaken. However will the funding extend to Rainham?

Answer

As part of our Cleaner Havering campaign, in the same way we did with South Street in Romford, we will be seeking the support of local businesses by asking

Council, 10 July 2019

them to display posters after the clean up which we hope will deter people from dropping gum in the future.

Yes, plans are in place to arrange for a deep clean in Rainham village during August. This will include Bridge Road, Wennington Road (Broadway to the post office), Ferry Lane up to the station and Upminster Road South (Bridge Road to Rainham Recreation Ground).

The Council is pleased to bring forward investment in the street cleansing service and that a deep clean of all the town centres will take place, and be programmed for the future as a regular occurrence.

The Cleaner Havering Campaign will build upon this, to make our town centres welcoming to all visitors.

A supplementary question asked for assurance that such a clean would definitely take place in Rainham. The Cabinet Member confirmed that the Rainham area would be cleaned in August 2019.

Tree Planting Programme

3) To the Cabinet Member for Environment (Councillor Osman Dervish) From Councillor Gillian Ford

It is good to see street tree planting taking place across the Borough, improving air quality, including within the Cranham Ward. Could the Cabinet Member advise this Council:

- How many new trees have been planted across the Borough during this planting season;
- The average cost per tree and the total seasonal cost.

Answer

Between November 2018 and March 2019, 192 trees were planted across the Borough, costing around £120 per tree and totalling around £23,000 for last year.

A supplementary question asked how many of this season's new trees had to be removed due to their dying from a lack of water. In response, the Cabinet Member stated that he would obtain the figures for this.

Neighbourhood Plans

4) To the Cabinet Member for Environment (Councillor Osman Dervish) From Councillor Paul McGeary

Will the Lead Member welcome the formation of neighbourhood forums in Havering with the aim of preparing Neighbourhood Plans introduced by the Localism Act 2011?

Answer

The Council is committed to ensuring that Havering remains a place where people want to live and businesses wish to invest and will prosper. Havering's new Local Plan will provide an up to date, strong and responsive planning framework for this.

Its preparation included public consultation so that the community and other stakeholders could help shape the plan and ensure that it took account of their views.

The Council has welcomed the responses received and considered these when finalising the Local Plan.

Further planning work will be undertaken once the Local Plan is adopted to identify the sites necessary for development to enable the delivery of the Local Plan.

The Council will meet its responsibilities for further statutory consultation and engagement with the community and other stakeholders.

It is several years since the Localism Act came into force. The Council is not aware of any proposals since then to set up neighbourhood forums or to prepare neighbourhood plans.

The Council is satisfied that continuing to ensure that the community and stakeholders have a full role in its plan-making through effective consultation is the best way of making sure their aspirations are reflected in its plans.

A supplementary question asked what level of support the Administration would provide to local residents wishing to form a Neighbourhood Forum. The Leader of the Council responded that the Administration would provide any required statutory support to residents who wished to develop a Neighbourhood Forum.

Portal and process for reporting incidents and issues to Havering Council

5) To the Cabinet Member for Public Protection and Safety (Councillor Viddy Persaud)

From Councillor Martin Goode

Can the Cabinet Member please explain, if there are any enhancements underway, in order to improve the current system in identifying and escalating issues and reported incidents to the appropriate department/authorities?

Currently the online reporting system is far from user friendly and telephone enquiries are often being transferred to the incorrect department with no follow up.

Answer

The Contact Centre based within Customer Services provides a front line service for a range of services. The Council invested in a new Website which

Council, 10 July 2019

went live 2 years ago, improving the residents online experience when searching for information and reporting issues.

There are a number of initiatives to further improve the residents experience and to ensure issues/requests are accurately recorded, tracked and monitored. The existing Customer Relationship Management System (CRM) is being replaced and due to go live in Autumn 2020. This will provide a single point to record all customer contact through the MY Havering portal, ensuring accurate recording, monitoring, escalation and progress, whilst routing requests accurately through to the correct department. Having a single view of all requests will also improve reporting and allow issues to be escalated quickly and resolved in a more timely fashion.

Environmental services are also implementing a new online application called "Love Clean Streets" allowing residents to report issues on any mobile device. This will provide the ability to upload pictures and categorise the type of problem, whilst also allowing the request to be tracked by residents.

Finally, the Contact Centre Telephony system is also being replaced with a new Cloud based solution. This will introduce greater functionality than the existing system, providing intelligent routing for all calls, improving the accuracy of transferring calls to the correct department first time.

The Customer Services department has been supported in a Service Review which uses established best practice to identify process and service improvements. Concept cases are being developed to take forward identified potential improvements.

A supplementary question asked if enhancements could be introduced as soon as possible. The Cabinet Member responded that new systems would have to be tested to ensure that they were robust enough before they could be introduced.

Fraudulent use of Blue Badges

**6) To the Cabinet Member for Environment (Councillor Osman Dervish)
From Councillor Paul Middleton**

Would the Cabinet Member confirm, for each of the past 3 years, how many Blue Badges have been confiscated by the Council for their fraudulent use?

Answer

Confiscated Blue badges over the past 3 years;

2016/17 - 9

2017/18 - 8

2018/19 - 4

As part of our normal enforcement patrols, Civil Enforcement Officers may randomly inspect a blue badge where necessary but does require the driver of the vehicle to be present. An identity check is then verified by the CEO and information gathered so as to evidence whether the badge being displayed is genuine and is being legitimately used.

However, we want to reduce any form of theft or misuse and that is why our enforcement officers will confiscate badges they believe to be fake or out of date, or if they believe the person using it is not the genuine user. This is in addition to a Penalty Charge Notice being issued.

A supplementary question asked how much revenue had been lost due to fraudulent use of Blue Badges and how fraudulent use of the badges in school pick-ups could be addressed. The Cabinet Member replied that it was difficult to quantify the exact revenue figure lost and that more regular warden patrols were now undertaken at school pick-up areas.

Planning Developments

7) To the Leader of the Council (Councillor Damian White) From Councillor Graham Williamson

Could the Council confirm how many housing units have been passed for development by planning, by the Mayor or on Appeal since May 2018 and how that number splits between apartments and houses?

Answer

Between 1st May 2018 and 24th June 2019 the following number of approvals have been issued:

By the Council:

357 houses or bungalows
1234 flats, apartments or maisonettes
125 studios/bedsits

By the Mayor/Greater London Authority

64 houses or bungalows
472 flats, apartments or maisonettes

By the Planning Inspectorate on appeal:

16 houses or bungalows
103 flats, apartments or maisonettes

In total, 2371 homes have been granted permission.

A supplementary question asked if the Council should be putting more pressure on developers to deliver the type of family homes needed in Havering. The

Leader of the Council agreed that the Council should provide the types of homes needed by residents, where this was possible and permitted.

Parking Consultation

**8) To the Cabinet Member for Environment (Councillor Osman Dervish)
From Councillor Linda Van den Hende**

In relation to the decision to withdraw the 30 minutes free parking period, would the Cabinet member confirm how many objections were received and how many responses there were to the formal consultation following the publication of the Public Notice on 26 April?

Answer

The Fees & Charges 2019/20 were agreed by Cabinet on 13th February 2019, and Full Council on 27th February 2019 and were subsequently introduced on 3rd June 2019.

The Council have complied with their Statutory obligations by way of advertising with statutory notices displayed at every location whereby charges exist.

22 comments were received in response to the statutory notices, of which 4 related to the removal of the free 30 minutes.

A supplementary question asked for details of how many objections had been received. The Cabinet Member clarified that 16 of the comments related to parking charges and four of these to the 30 minutes issue. The Cabinet Member added that there did not necessarily need to be any changes made arising from a consultation process.

**9) To the Cabinet Member for Environment (Councillor Osman Dervish)
From Councillor Carole Beth**

When will the Executive complete its review of pesticides used by the authority and bring a report to Cabinet in accordance with the Motion passed at Full Council on 21st November 2018?

Answer

Officers are reviewing the use of herbicides, which form just one of a variety of different weed control methods employed within Havering. Havering uses various weed control practices on the public highway, in parks and open spaces, and on council housing land, depending on local requirements and in recognition that no one practice can be viewed as an overall solution.

As part of this review, officers have contacted the Amenity Forum, the Pesticide Action Network and a number of different councils employing alternative weed control practices. Officers have also discussed various options, including indicative costs, with its current weed control provider, SH Goss, who are well-regarded in the industry and who have trialled a number of different alternative treatments in recent years. Furthermore, Havering has also received and

Council, 10 July 2019

reviewed a practical demonstration of a relatively new foam treatment, and discussed this with other councils that have adopted it.

A paper has been produced which outlines the current position and addresses alternative options for weed control based on officer findings. This is currently being reviewed and will be presented to Cabinet in October.

A supplementary question asked if carcinogenic pesticides were being used on any Havering streets or parks. The Cabinet Member responded that he would check this with the current contractor and confirm.

Unauthorised Traveller Encampments

10) To the Cabinet Member for Public Protection and Safety (Councillor Viddy Persaud) From Councillor Keith Darvill

Will the Lead Member for make a statement to Full Council about the progress in obtaining an injunction in the High Court pursuant to Section 61 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 to help prevent further unauthorised traveller encampments in the Borough?

Answer

The case is almost prepared for issuing an application for a Without Notice Borough Wide Injunction in the High Court. We have been in the process of obtaining and preparing impact witness statements from individuals and local businesses affected by previous traveller incursions some of which has included criminal damage. This has taken longer than expected due to the availability and annual leave of external witnesses. This is key evidence and without this our case is considerably weaker which will affect the chances of success in obtaining an Order.

We are expecting to be able to issue the proceedings in July 2019 (provided all outstanding matters are dealt with and impact evidence as above is finalised).

Sponsorship of the Havering Show

11) To the Leader of the Council (Councillor Damian White) From Councillor Ray Morgon

Given that London City Airport are sponsoring the Havering Show and have created a promotional video about Havering, in which the Leader of the Council appeared, will this leave Havering Council in a difficult position to push back on future airport expansion plans that might be detrimental to Havering residents

Answer

London City Airport looks to support organisations which enable significant and positive change for communities near London City Airport.

Indeed Aaron Uthman, Community Relations Ambassador for London City Airport, recently said:

“Havering Show is shaping up to be a fantastic weekend of music, arts and fun, and we hope that families and friends in the borough turn out in force on the August bank holiday weekend to enjoy the array of activities, including many of our own airport employees who live locally.

“As a close neighbour of Havering, London City Airport is proud to support the show, which is another example of the creativity and community spirit on our doorstep.”

However, this sponsorship does not detract from our position as representatives of our Havering communities. If there is a need for us to lobby against any plans that adversely affect residents, then we will still do so.

I also hope you can support the Havering Show which is so popular with residents and not support calls to end it and stop funding this and other important public events throughout the year that bring our communities together and help foster social cohesion.

A supplementary question asked if the Council would press for further consultation on the proposed expansion of London City Airport and associated rise in numbers of flights over Havering. The Leader of the Council responded that it was important to ensure that both residents and Councillors responded to the consultation. Recent rises in flight numbers over Havering had been principally due to changes introduced by the Civil Aviation Authority (CAA). The Leader had recently met with CAA representatives and would share details of their complaints process re aircraft noise.

Minute’s Silence at Council

**12) To the Leader of the Council (Councillor Damian White)
From Councillor David Durant**

At Council it’s custom and practice for the Mayor to call for a minute’s silence to pay respects to all ex/councillors who have died. However extending tributes beyond this, particularly to people we don’t know and with no connection to the Borough creates a problem of who to include and not include to avoid accusations of political bias and risk disrespecting both those named and those not included. Hence once you include some you have to include others and this cheapens the practice of paying respects, by encouraging virtual signalling and making it potentially party political and contentious.

The Council has received a growing number of requests from Government to lower flags and hold silences for certain events described as “terrorist”, which

Council, 10 July 2019

are heeded but without these requests, there should be **no** minute's silences to avoid the matter becoming arbitrary? Hence does the Council Leader agree there shouldn't be any one minute's silences beyond tributes to former councillors without agreement of all group leaders, unless the silence follows an official request from the Government to do so, as on previous occasions?

Answer

In this instance, the Mayor exercised his discretion in calling for a Minute's Silence to honour those who lost their lives in the terrorist attacks that took place in New Zealand and Sri Lanka.

I support the Mayor in his decision and am proud that along with other London Authorities, Havering chose to remember the victims in this way.

I cannot understand why Councillor Durant would wish to object to the Council paying its respects and find his objection distasteful.

Terrorism is indiscriminate and people from all cultures and creeds lost their lives in these events. I consider it fitting that we should take a moment to remember the victims.

A supplementary question asked if the Leader felt that the determination of whether to hold a minute's silence was a political matter for the Executive. The Leader responded that this was at the discretion of the Mayor and that he fully supported the Mayor's decision. The Leader was proud that the Council held an Annual Holocaust Memorial Day which remembered victims of terrorism and felt that this showed the strength of Havering's community cohesion.

Fire Risk Assessments for Council Housing Stock

**13) To the Cabinet Member for Housing (Councillor Joshua Chapman)
From Councillor Chris Wilkins**

Can the Cabinet Member for Housing confirm that all Fire Risk Assessments (FRAs) have been completed for all of the Council's housing stock, in line with the Fire Safety Order regulations and in line with prescribed timescales; which are linked to the relevant Fire Management Plan?

Answer

All our housing stock currently have a valid fire risk assessments in line with the Fire Safety Order Regulations.

Previously all high risk buildings, (that is sheltered housing, hostels, housing of multiple occupation and high rise blocks) have previously had Fire Risk assessments undertaken every two years. This has now been changed to an annual inspection. Whilst this is not a legislative requirement we feel it is the right thing to do.

Council, 10 July 2019

Medium to low risk building (Blocks under 5 storeys) have Fire Risk Assessments carried out every 3 years.

A supplementary question asked if repairs identified as part of fire risk assessments had been carried out to timescale and with sufficient quality. The Cabinet Member responded that officers worked to fire risk assessment action plans and that required work was done to timescale.

Havering Community Wardens

**14) To the Cabinet Member for Housing (Councillor Joshua Chapman)
From Councillor Tele Lawal**

What are the proposed alternative arrangements for Community Wardens in Havering?

Answer

The proposal has been to create a one Council approach to enforcement and ensure consistency and the effective use of resources to tackle these issues.

The community wardens alongside a number of other officers/ teams (including street scene, parks protection and community safety) transferred to the Enforcement group within Environment in late Summer 2017 to establish a cohesive enforcement approach for Havering.

The new model proposes that the existing roles of the community wardens change from purely engagement and patrol, to enforcement led across all our housing estates. As part of the daytime enforcement activity, there will be teams operating for a 12 hour daily period, Monday to Saturday, and dedicated ward and housing estate patrols.

In addition, there will be additional capacity and support from the new tactical enforcement team, to cover the night-time period from Thursday to Saturday alongside the recently agreed Council funded S92 Police Officers. This will further enhance enforcement with a visible presence across Havering's housing estates.

A supplementary question asked if the Cabinet Member was aware of staff concerns re the restructure and what had been put in place to mitigate these concerns? The Cabinet Member stated that the restructure had gone through the Council's consultation programme and that he wished for staff to undertake retraining and to learn new skills.

Special Responsibility Allowance Paid to Committee Chairmen

**15) To the Leader of the Council (Councillor Damian White)
From Councillor Ray Morgon**

In the last municipal year, several committee chairmen earnt well over £1,000 an hour with the highest earning well over £2,500 an hour. Would the Leader of the Council confirm if he feels that the council has received value for money from these Chairmen?

Answer

Special Responsibility Allowances are awarded to those members by Full Council when tasked with undertaking additional duties such as being the chairman of a committee, for example. The allowance levels are determined by Full Council, having regard to the report by the London Council's Independent Remuneration Panel, the most recent of which was released in 2018.

I do not recognise the figures that Councillor Morgon cites in his question, however an hourly rate is not featured in the Member Allowances Scheme, nor in the Panel's report.

Anecdotally, it appears to be based on time spent chairing meetings. Councillor Morgon tries to get us to believe that the generous allowance paid to him as Leader of the Opposition is also for work undertaken outside formal committee meetings and similarly, the Special Responsibility Allowances paid to Committee Chairmen also reflect what informal work is done behind the scenes. This includes discussion with officers, undertaking research, attending training, reading agenda and briefing papers, amongst other things.

It is worth noting that the Special Responsibility Allowances paid to Chairmen fall below the levels recommended by the Independent Remuneration Panel as we are committed to giving the ratepayers of this Borough value for money

I believe our committee chairmen are value for money Mr. Mayor and I congratulate them on their hard work and dedication.

A supplementary question asked whether, given the IPSOS MORI poll had indicated the Council gave low value for money, and that the peer review had called for better scrutiny, the cross-party scrutiny review should now be revived. The Council Leader reiterated his commitment to giving local people value for money and added that the Council had been highlighted as efficient.

This page is intentionally left blank

VOTING RECORD

<i>DIVISION NUMBER:</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>13</i>
The Mayor [Cllr Michael Deon Burton]	O	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
The Deputy Mayor [Cllr John Mylod]	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
<u>CONSERVATIVE GROUP</u>													
Cllr Robert Benham	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Ray Best	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Joshua Chapman	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr John Crowder	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Philippa Crowder	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Cllr Osman Dervish	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Jason Frost	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Judith Holt	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Sally Miller	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Robby Misir	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Dilip Patel	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Nisha Patel	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Bob Perry	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Viddy Persaud	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Roger Ramsey	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Timothy Ryan	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Carol Smith	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Christine Smith	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Matt Sutton	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Cllr Maggie Themistocli	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Christine Vickery	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Ciaran White	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Damian White	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Michael White	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
<u>RESIDENTS' GROUP</u>													
Cllr Nic Dodin	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Cllr Paul Middleton	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	✗	O	✓	✓	✓	O	O	O
Cllr Raymond Morgon	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	✗	✗	O	✓	✓	✗	O	O
Cllr Barry Mugglestone	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	✗	O	✓	✓	✓	✗	O	O
Cllr Stephanie Nunn	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	✗	O	✓	✓	✓	✗	O	O
Cllr Gerry O'Sullivan	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	✗	O	✓	✓	✓	✗	O	O
Cllr Reg Whitney	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	O	O
<u>INDEPENDENT RESIDENTS' GROUP</u>													
Cllr David Durant	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	O
Cllr Tony Durdin	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	O
Cllr Jan Sargent	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	O	O	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Cllr Natasha Summers	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	O	✓	O	✓	✓	✓	✓	O
Cllr Jeffrey Tucker	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	O	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	O
Cllr Graham Williamson	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	O	O	O	✓	✓	✓	✓	O
<u>UPMINSTER & CRANHAM RESIDENTS' GROUP</u>													
Cllr Gillian Ford	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	O	✗	✗
Cllr Linda Hawthorn	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	O	✗	✗
Cllr Ron Ower	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	✗	O	✓	✓	✓	O	O	✗
Cllr John Tyler	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	O	✗	✗
Cllr Linda Van den Hende	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	O	✗	✗
Cllr Christopher Wilkins	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	O	✗	✗
<u>LABOUR GROUP</u>													
Cllr Carole Beth	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Cllr Keith Darvill	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Cllr Tele Lawal	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Cllr Paul McGeary	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Cllr Denis O'Flynn	✓	✗	O	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<u>NORTH HAVERING RESIDENTS' GROUP</u>													
Cllr Brian Eagling	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Martin Goode	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Cllr Darren Wise	O	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	O	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
<u>INDEPENDENT</u>													
Cllr Melvin Wallace	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
TOTALS													
✓ = YES	23	28	30	23	27	27	3	17	23	48	11	11	34
✗ = NO	26	23	3	28	24	21	40	30	28	3	33	33	6
O = ABSTAIN/NO VOTE	2	0	18	0	0	3	8	4	0	0	7	7	11
ID =INTEREST DISCLOSED/NO VOTE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A = ABSENT FROM MEETING	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	54	54	54	54	54	54	54	54	54	54	54	54	54

This page is intentionally left blank